	Case3:09-cv-02143-RS Docum	nent44	Filed09/2	7/10	Page1 of 3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669 City Attorney WAYNE SNODGRASS, State Bar #148137 SHERRI SOKELAND KAISER, State Bar #19798 Deputy City Attorneys 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 234 San Francisco, California 94102-4682 Telephone: (415) 554-4691 Facsimile: (415) 554-4691 Facsimile: (415) 554-4747 E-Mail: sherri.kaiser@sfgov.org Attorneys for Defendants CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO MAYOR GAVIN NEWSOM and POLICE CH GEORGE GASCÓN),			
10 11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	ESPANOLA JACKSON, PAUL COLVIN, THOMAS BOYER, LARRY BARSETTI, DAVID GOLDEN, NOEMI MARGARET ROBINSON, NATIONAL RIFLE ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA, INC. SAN FRANCISCO VETERAN POLICE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION, Plaintiffs, vs. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, MAYOR GAVIN NEWSOM, in his official capacity; POLICE CHIEF GEORGE GASCÓN, in his official capacity, and Does 1-10, Defendants.	DECL KAISH CONS	E R IN SU OLIDAT	N OF PPOR E Decer 1:30 J Judge Unite 450 C Room	SHERRI SOKELAND TOF MOTION TO mber 9, 2010 p.m. e Richard Seeborg d States District Court Golden Gate Avenue, Court a 3, 17th Floor Francisco, California
25 26 27					
28	KAISER DEC. ISO MOT. TO CONSOLIDATE USDC No. C09-2143 RS				n:\govlit\li2010\091333\00654448.doc

I

I, Sherri Sokeland Kaiser, declare as follows:

I am a Deputy City Attorney for the City and County of San Francisco. I am the attorney of record for all defendants in this case and in *Pizzo v. Newsom*, Case No. 09-4493 CW, currently pending before Judge Wilken. I make the following statements of my own personal knowledge unless otherwise indicated. If called to testify to the truth of these statements, I would and could testify competently thereto.

2. Until very recently, Plaintiffs have taken the position that *Pizzo v. Newsom* should be
consolidated with this case. In their Notice of Related Cases (Docket No. 24), Plaintiffs argued that,
"[c]onducting these cases before different Judges would create an unduly burdensome duplication of
labor and expense and a risk of conflicting results. These cases should be deemed related, and
possibly consolidated." *Id.* at 3. And, as recently as the briefing and hearing on their motion to lift the
stay, Plaintiffs represented to the Court that they did not oppose consolidation. E.g., Docket No. 33 at
5.

3. After this Court issued its Order Granting Motion to Lift Stay on September 13, 2010 (Docket No. 37), I have repeatedly attempted to meet and confer with opposing counsel, C.D. Michel, and his associates in regard to stipulating to consolidation.

4. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the email I sent to Mr. Michel
and counsel for the parties in *Pizzo* at 10:31 a.m. on 9/13/10 asking them to stipulate to consolidation.

5. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of the email response that Mr. Michel sent me at 4:49 on 9/13/10 indicating, without explanation, that Plaintiffs suddenly oppose consolidation.

6. Attached hereto as Exhibit C is an email I set to Mr. Michel and his associates at 12:13 p.m. on 9/16/10. In it, I point out that refusing to stipulate to consolidation will slow progress in the case. I again asked them to stipulate to consolidation, but they have not agreed to do so.

7. On September 21, 2010, I emailed the parties about scheduling a hearing date on the motion I intend to file to consolidate *Pizzo* with *Jackson*. The combined responses of counsel indicate that the first available hearing date for the Court and the parties is December 9, 2010.

1

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing statements are true and correct.					
2	Executed this 27th day of September 2010 at San Francisco, California.					
3	s/Sherri Sokeland Kaiser					
4	SHERRI SOKELAND KAISER					
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26 27						
$\frac{27}{28}$						
20	KAISER DEC. ISO MOT. TO CONSOLIDATE 2 n:\govlit\li2010\091333\00654448.doo USDC No. C09-2143 RS					